

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>		<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/599,911		CHEON ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>		<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Matthew P. Coughlin		1626	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) Matthew P. Coughlin.

(2) Eugene Lieberstein.

**Date of Interview:** 6 October 2009

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1-12

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** pending

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Time:** 2:00 pm

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner suggested changes to be made by Examiner's amendment to place case in condition for allowance. Applicant's representative indicated that the suggested changes would be discussed with Applicant. In response to the discussion, Applicant's representative filed a supplemental amendment on October 16th, 2009; however, only a portion of the issues were resolved. The remaining issues are discussed in the attached office action.